## Message

From: Pierce, Amanda [pierce.amanda@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 9/2/2021 9:22:56 PM

To: Mendelsohn, Mike [Mendelsohn.Mike@epa.gov]

**Subject**: FW: Oxitec eco RA draft

Attachments: Oxitec\_eco\_RA\_eupamendment\_draft\_8.27.21.docx

Recommendation on when to ask for comments back? Obviously the sooner the better, but I don't want to be unreasonable.

From: Wakefield, Benjamin J. <wakefield.benjamin@epa.gov>

**Sent:** Thursday, September 2, 2021 5:12 PM **To:** Pierce, Amanda pierce.amanda@epa.gov>

Cc: Mendelsohn, Mike <Mendelsohn.Mike@epa.gov>; Wozniak, Chris <wozniak.chris@epa.gov>; Sinclair, Geoffrey

<Sinclair.Geoffrey@epa.gov>; Reynolds, Alan <Reynolds.Alan@epa.gov>; Weiner, Matthew

<weiner.matthew@epa.gov>; Huskey, Angela <Huskey.Angela@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Oxitec eco RA draft

Hi Amanda.

Thanks for the opportunity to review. When would you like my comments back?

- Ben

From: Pierce, Amanda <<u>pierce.amanda@epa.gov</u>>
Sent: Thursday, September 2, 2021 4:56 PM

To: Wakefield, Benjamin J. <wakefield.benjamin@epa.gov>

Cc: Mendelsohn, Mike < Mendelsohn. Mike@epa.gov>; Wozniak, Chris < wozniak.chris@epa.gov>; Sinclair, Geoffrey

<Sinclair.Geoffrey@epa.gov>; Reynolds, Alan <Reynolds.Alan@epa.gov>; Weiner, Matthew

<weiner.matthew@epa.gov>
Subject: Oxitec eco RA draft

Hi Ben,

As discussed earlier today, attached is the draft ecological risk assessment for the latest Oxitec EUP amendment/extension for your review.

You'll notice that I've left a note in the document flagging a sentence where I intend to cite to a DER being prepared by the human health team evaluating potential tetracycline sources (no tetracycline=no females). The only impact for the eco RA is that if the evaluation only concluded "negligible exposure" in terms of female mosquitoes for certain counties, any county that did not have that finding would be removed from the EUP. The arguments underlying the eco RA itself would remain unchanged. Just an FYI- let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks, Amanda